Sammanställning av Evaluation

18 av 33 har svarat

Fråga 1: How well did the course goal show at the beginning of the course? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 1 svar (6%)
- 5 8 svar (44%)
- 6 9 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,444

Fråga 2: How useful did you find the course webpages? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 2 svar (11%)
- 5 7 svar (39%)
- 6 9 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,389

Fråga 3: What do you think about the book? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 1 svar (6%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 5 svar (28%)
- 4 3 svar (17%)
- 5 8 svar (44%)
- 6 1 svar (6%)

Medelvärde 4,111

Fråga 4: How was the lecturer didactically? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 1 svar (6%)
- 5 7 svar (39%)
- 6 10 svar (56%)

Medelvärde 5,5

Fråga 5: Was the lecturer enthusiastic and motivated? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 2 svar (11%)
- 6 16 svar (89%)

Medelvärde 5,889

Fråga 6: How well did the lecturer answer questions? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 2 svar (11%)
- 6 16 svar (89%)

Medelvärde 5,889

Fråga 7: How friendly and helpful was the lecturer during breaks, in Emails, etc? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 2 svar (11%)
- 6 16 svar (89%)

Medelvärde 5,889

Fråga 8: Did the lecturer use avalable tools appropriately (OH, video, etc)? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 1 svar (6%)
- 4 2 svar (11%)
- 5 6 svar (33%)
- 6 9 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,278

Fråga 9: Which percentage of lectures did you attend? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 100 9 svar (50%)
- 80 6 svar (33%)
- 60 2 svar (11%)
- 40 1 svar (6%)

- 20 0 svar (0%)
- -0-0 svar (0%)

Medelvärde 85,556

Fråga 10: What use did you have of the lectures? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 2 svar (11%)
- 5 9 svar (50%)
- 6 7 svar (39%)

Medelvärde 5,278

Fråga 11: What do you think about the lecture notes? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 4 svar (22%)
- 5 9 svar (50%)
- 6 5 svar (28%)

Medelvärde 5,056

Fråga 12: Which percentage of tutorials did you attend? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 100 11 svar (61%)
- 80 3 svar (17%)
- 60 3 svar (17%)
- 40 1 svar (6%)
- 20 0 svar (0%) - 0 - 0 svar (0%)

Medelvärde 86,667

Fråga 13: What use did you have of the tutorials? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 1 svar (6%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 6 svar (33%)
- 6 11 svar (61%)

Medelvärde 5,444

Fråga 14: What use did you have of the home assignments? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 1 svar (6%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 4 svar (22%)
- 6 13 svar (72%)

Medelvärde 5,611

Fråga 15: The lecture and tutorial rooms concerning light, acoustics, and air? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (11%)
- 4 3 svar (17%)
- 5 6 svar (33%)
- 6 7 svar (39%)

Medelvärde 5

Fråga 16: Did you have all prerequisite knowledge for the course? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 3 svar (17%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 4 svar (22%)
- 5 5 svar (28%)
- 6 6 svar (33%)

Medelvärde 4,611

Fråga 17: How difficult do you consider the course (1=very difficult, ..., 6=very easy)? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 4 svar (22%)
- 3 9 svar (50%)
- 4 3 svar (17%)
- 5 2 svar (11%)
- 6 0 svar (0%)

Medelvärde 3,167

Fråga 18: How meaningful do you consider the course? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)

- 4 1 svar (6%)
- 5 11 svar (61%)
- 6 6 svar (33%)

Medelvärde 5,278

Fråga 19: How is the course altogether? (18 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 12 svar (67%)
- 6 6 svar (33%)

Medelvärde 5,333

Fråga 20: General comments? (6 personer)

Kommentarer

- The scope of the home assignments felt a bit to narrow. In each home assignment there should be a few more but smaller tasks in order to cover more cases. Beside that I think it's a very interesting course and one of the greatest teachers:-)
- Last home assignment little too difficult. Mostly because difficult to find information how to complete it.

Garbage collection part on exam was unexpected but it's valuable to know. Maybe more examples on this would be helpful.

- Your slides could be a bit more "talky".Cut out the discrete math and focus on explaining in clear steps how the algorithms work. I mean, I relay am code (and math) blind, so please! If you did that, it would save us several hours of searching google and wikipedia for explanations of what an algorithm actually does (which we need for solving the home assignments and passing the exam). The book isn't very good at explaining these things either, so we really depend on you at this point.

Also, the tasks on some of the home assignments are inhumanly large, please split them into smaller ones. That would also give you an opportunity to have them covering more special cases and pitfalls than they do now. For example, we never had to do the "freeze" step of the register allocation algorithm in home assignment three. If you had several smaller tasks instead you could make one of them cover this for example.

Also, I would like some more focus on how you relay implement a compiler in practice, a little more reflections and maybe a small case study where you go through an overview of the implementation of a certain compiler. That would really help in understanding what all these graphs, tables and algorithms are rellay good for!

Besides these things, thanks for great course!

- really amazing lecturer!
- Fun course. Tad messy at times, many different things to remember, but 'twas fun nonetheless
- Very interesting and important course. The lectures were outstanding, however the course book could be better.
 Thank you, Christian!