

Sammanställning av Course Evaluation

14 av 49 har svarat

Fråga 1: I could recommend this course to a fellow student. (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- Definitely agree - 12 svar (86%)
- Agree, but with reservations - 2 svar (14%)
- Not sure/does not apply - 0 svar (0%)
- Tend to disagree - 0 svar (0%)
- Definitely disagree - 0 svar (0%)

Fråga 2: What is your overall impression of the course? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- Very Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Acceptable - 0 svar (0%)
- Good - 5 svar (36%)
- Very Good - 9 svar (64%)

Kommentarer

- **Svar** Very Good
Comment: Very well put together course.

Fråga 3: Give your evaluation of the lectures. (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- Very Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Acceptable - 0 svar (0%)
- Good - 4 svar (29%)
- Very Good - 10 svar (71%)

Kommentarer

- **Svar** Very Good
Comment: Definitely approve of the repetition section at the start of most lectures. This is something that (in my experience) most lecturers at KTH don't do, which is a shame.
- **Svar** Very Good
Comment: Lecturer (Schulte) is very engaging and often succeeds in making something that could be considered dull into something more exciting.
- **Svar** Very Good
Comment: Lectures are enthusiastic and interesting. The initial grammar part makes the subject appear tough.

Fråga 4: Give your evaluation of the tutorials. (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- Very Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Acceptable - 2 svar (14%)
- Good - 3 svar (21%)
- Very Good - 9 svar (64%)

Kommentarer

- **Svar** Acceptable
Comment: I only managed to attend one due to conflicting courses.

Fråga 5: Give your evaluation of the course material including lecture notes. (13 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- Very Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Acceptable - 0 svar (0%)
- Good - 4 svar (31%)
- Very Good - 9 svar (69%)

Kommentarer

- **Svar** Good
Comment: You mention that some sections in the book are more in-depth than you intend for us to learn. As such, it would be nice if, when you give reading suggestions, you could specify which parts of the suggested chapter to read/not read.
- **Svar** Good
Comment: I think the book is better than what the reviews say, apart from some sections where there is indeed too much focus on implementation. The slides are good, however they are not so good to use unless you've been to the lecture or read the corresponding chapter in the book.
- **Svar** Good
Comment: Could have used a lab or two since it's very much learn by doing. Even if the labs would be small it still would have accelerated the understanding. Especially for the parsing part.

Fråga 6: Give your evaluation of the assignments. (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- Very Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Bad - 0 svar (0%)
- Acceptable - 2 svar (14%)
- Good - 6 svar (43%)
- Very Good - 6 svar (43%)

Kommentarer

- **Svar** Good
Comment: Helps to understand the material.
- **Svar** Acceptable
Comment: I would like to have programming assignments.
- **Svar** Good
Comment: maybe split it up into 4-5 smaller assignment so that they won't be so huge.

Fråga 7: In case you want to give specific comments, please fill them in here. (3 personer)

Kommentarer

- Lectures are enthusiastic and interesting. The initial grammar part makes the subject appear tough. Pace of lectures are a bit fast. Once a key concept is missed, it is difficult to follow. Revision part in each class is helpful.
- Brilliant Content, but I think During lectures, apart from only tutorials some on board by hand explanation is better.
- There seems to be a gap between syntactical analysis and register allocation. The IR part I feel was not properly presented.

Fråga 8: If you have suggestions for improvement, please give them here. (4 personer)

Kommentarer

- can include a lab session for a mini-compiler demo.
- I would like to suggest that by hand explanation by drawing things during class would be a bit better. Tutorials are too short to cover such huge subject.
- I would be motivated to do the voluntary lab if successful completion would result in bonus points.
- I think actually programming a simple compiler would fit in this course. It's pretty useful, parsing through text and making sense out of it is something done often, at least in my experience so far. Maybe not generating intermediate code, but frontend, plus, simulating a program then, through execution environment using stack and a few simple operations, after that you absolutely get the feeling how programs are being executed