Sammanställning av Course Evaluation

14 av 36 har svarat

Fråga 1: How well did the course goal show at the beginning of the course? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (14%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 5 svar (36%)
- 6 7 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,214

Fråga 2: How useful did you find the course webpages? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 1 svar (7%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 2 svar (14%)
- 5 2 svar (14%)
- 6 9 svar (64%)

Medelvärde 5,214

Fråga 3: Which percentage of lectures did you attend? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 100 9 svar (64%)
- 80 2 svar (14%)
- 60 1 svar (7%)
- 40 0 svar (0%)
- 20 1 svar (7%)
- 0 1 svar (7%)

Medelvärde 81,429

Fråga 4: How useful are the lectures? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 1 svar (7%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 2 svar (14%)
- 5 4 svar (29%)
- 6 7 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,071

Fråga 5: How useful are the lecture notes? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (14%)
- 4 1 svar (7%)
- 5 4 svar (29%)
- 6 7 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,143

Fråga 6: How useful are the assignments for understanding? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (14%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 2 svar (14%)
- 6 10 svar (71%)

Medelvärde 5,429

Fråga 7: Are the assignments interesting and stimulating? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (14%)
- 4 1 svar (7%)
- 5 2 svar (14%)
- 6 9 svar (64%)

Medelvärde 5.286

Fråga 8: How well do the assignments fit the course content? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 1 svar (7%)
- 5 3 svar (21%)
- 6 10 svar (71%)

Medelvärde 5,643

Fråga 9: How easy is it to use Gecode/J for the practical assignments (6 = very easy)? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (14%)

- 4 5 svar (36%)
- 5 5 svar (36%)
- 6 2 svar (14%)

Medelvärde 4,5

Fråga 10: How much time (in hours) did you spend on average on an assignment? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 1 svar (7%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 2 svar (14%)
- 6 1 svar (7%)
- 7 0 svar (0%)
- 8 3 svar (21%)
- 9 1 svar (7%)
- 10 1 svar (7%)
- 11 0 svar (0%)
- 12 3 svar (21%)
- 13 0 svar (0%)
- 14 0 svar (0%)
- 15 1 svar (7%)
- 16 0 svar (0%)
- 17 0 svar (0%)
- 18 0 svar (0%)
- 19 0 svar (0%)
- 20 0 svar (0%)
- 21 0 svar (0%)
- 22 0 svar (0%)
- 23 0 svar (0%)
- 24 1 svar (7%)
- 25 0 svar (0%)
- 26 0 svar (0%)
- 27 0 svar (0%)
- 28 0 svar (0%)
- 29 0 svar (0%)
- 30 0 svar (0%)
- 31 0 svar (0%)
- 32 0 svar (0%)
- 33 0 svar (0%)
- 34 0 svar (0%)
- 35 0 svar (0%)
- 36 0 svar (0%)
- 37 0 svar (0%) - 38 - 0 svar (0%)
- 39 0 svar (0%)
- 40 0 svar (0%)

Medelvärde 9,786

Fråga 11: How was the lecturer didactically? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 1 svar (7%)

- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 2 svar (14%)
- 5 2 svar (14%)
- 6 9 svar (64%)

Medelvärde 5,286

Fråga 12: Was the lecturer enthusiastic and motivated? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 1 svar (7%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 1 svar (7%)
- 6 12 svar (86%)

Medelvärde 5,714

Fråga 13: How well did the lecturer answer questions? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 1 svar (7%)
- 4 1 svar (7%)
- 5 3 svar (21%)
- 6 9 svar (64%)

Medelvärde 5,429

Fråga 14: How friendly and helpful was the lecturer during breaks, in Emails, etc? (13 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 1 svar (8%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 0 svar (0%)
- 5 2 svar (15%)
- 6 10 svar (77%)

Medelvärde 5,538

Fråga 15: The lecture and tutorial rooms concerning light, acoustics, and air? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- -2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 2 svar (14%)
- 4 3 svar (21%)
- 5 4 svar (29%)
- 6 5 svar (36%)

Medelvärde 4,857

Fråga 16: Did you have all prerequisite knowledge for the course? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 2 svar (14%)
- 5 5 svar (36%)
- 6 7 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,357

Fråga 17: How difficult do you consider the course (6 = very easy)? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 3 svar (21%)
- 4 6 svar (43%)
- 5 5 svar (36%)
- 6 0 svar (0%)

Medelvärde 4,143

Fråga 18: How meaningful do you consider the course? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 1 svar (7%)
- 5 6 svar (43%)
- 6 7 svar (50%)

Medelvärde 5,429

Fråga 19: How is the course altogether? (14 personer)

Svarsalternativ

- 1 0 svar (0%)
- 2 0 svar (0%)
- 3 0 svar (0%)
- 4 1 svar (7%)
- 5 4 svar (29%)
- 6 9 svar (64%)

Medelvärde 5,571

Fråga 20: General comments? (7 personer)

Kommentarer

- Generally, it was very good. The only problem was the fast starting of the course, and facing a lot of information in the first lectures.
 - Some assignment lectures also could be nice.

- Those aside, the rest was excellent!
- The course was interesting and useful to know and the lecturer was really motivated and helpful for answering the questions.
- no comments
- Interesting course and good teacher.
- I really enjoyed this course. Especially, it refreshed my mathematical knowledge that I tend to forget... I also find constraint programming really interesting.
 - However, I think that repeating what we did at the last lecture at the beginning of each lecture do not need to be as long as this. However, I like how constraint programming is introduced in this course because it is very rigourous and it is a solid basis to learn more about it later.
 - As a result, I get now interested by algorithmic and graph theory and I look forward to learn more about it.
- I think you really should consider having scheduled laborations. The home laborations were great but sometimes you needed help to understand all aspects and the learning would be more direct when interacting with an assistant directly rather than through email. The lecture notes were good if you had attended the lecture but didnt say much if you didnt. Also, the course notes rocked and did contain allmost everything. They were easy to understand and didnt go too much into boring details. Overall it was a great course but I would like to see more scheduled laborations. If possible I would also go into theory before starting with Gecode. I had some problems with understanding Gecode at the beginning of the course but after the theory everything became much clearer.
- Great course!