Sammanställning av Course evaluation
25 av 66 har svarat

Fråga 1: How well did the course goal show at the beginning of the course? (24 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 2 svar (8%)
- 4 - 2 svar (8%)
- 5 - 12 svar (50%)
- 6 - 8 svar (33%)
Medelvärde 5,083

Fråga 2: How useful did you find the course webpages? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 1 svar (4%)
- 4 - 2 svar (8%)
- 5 - 10 svar (40%)
- 6 - 12 svar (48%)
Medelvärde 5,32

Fråga 3: Which percentage of lectures did you attend? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 100 - 11 svar (44%)
- 80 - 9 svar (36%)
- 60 - 0 svar (0%)
- 40 - 1 svar (4%)
- 20 - 3 svar (12%)
- 0 - 1 svar (4%)
Medelvärde 76,8

Fråga 4: How useful are the lectures? (24 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 2 svar (8%)
- 4 - 0 svar (0%)
- 5 - 10 svar (42%)
- 6 - 12 svar (50%)
Medelvärde 5,333
Fråga 5: How useful are the lecture notes? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 2 svar (8%)
- 3 - 2 svar (8%)
- 4 - 3 svar (12%)
- 5 - 6 svar (24%)
- 6 - 12 svar (48%)
Medelvärde 4,96

Fråga 6: How useful are the assignments for understanding? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 0 svar (0%)
- 4 - 4 svar (16%)
- 5 - 13 svar (52%)
- 6 - 8 svar (32%)
Medelvärde 5,16

Fråga 7: Are the assignments interesting and stimulating? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 0 svar (0%)
- 4 - 5 svar (20%)
- 5 - 12 svar (48%)
- 6 - 8 svar (32%)
Medelvärde 5,12

Fråga 8: How well do the assignments fit the course content? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 0 svar (0%)
- 4 - 4 svar (16%)
- 5 - 9 svar (36%)
- 6 - 12 svar (48%)
Medelvärde 5,32

Fråga 9: How easy is it to use Gecode for the practical assignments (6 = very easy)? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 1 svar (4%)
- 2 - 1 svar (4%)
- 3 - 7 svar (28%)
- 4 - 7 svar (28%)
- 5 - 7 svar (28%)
- 6 - 2 svar (8%)

Medelvärde 3,96

Fråga 10: How much time (in hours) did you spend on average on an assignment? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 1 svar (4%)
- 4 - 1 svar (4%)
- 5 - 0 svar (0%)
- 6 - 3 svar (12%)
- 7 - 0 svar (0%)
- 8 - 1 svar (4%)
- 9 - 0 svar (0%)
- 10 - 4 svar (16%)
- 11 - 0 svar (0%)
- 12 - 3 svar (12%)
- 13 - 0 svar (0%)
- 14 - 0 svar (0%)
- 15 - 2 svar (8%)
- 16 - 1 svar (4%)
- 17 - 0 svar (0%)
- 18 - 1 svar (4%)
- 19 - 0 svar (0%)
- 20 - 2 svar (8%)
- 21 - 0 svar (0%)
- 22 - 0 svar (0%)
- 23 - 0 svar (0%)
- 24 - 1 svar (4%)
- 25 - 0 svar (0%)
- 26 - 0 svar (0%)
- 27 - 0 svar (0%)
- 28 - 0 svar (0%)
- 29 - 0 svar (0%)
- 30 - 1 svar (4%)
- 31 - 0 svar (0%)
- 32 - 0 svar (0%)
- 33 - 0 svar (0%)
- 34 - 0 svar (0%)
- 35 - 1 svar (4%)
- 36 - 0 svar (0%)
- 37 - 0 svar (0%)
- 38 - 0 svar (0%)
- 39 - 0 svar (0%)
- 40 - 3 svar (12%)

Medelvärde 16,88

Fråga 11: How was the lecturer didactically? (24 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 1 svar (4%)  
- 4 - 2 svar (8%)  
- 5 - 9 svar (38%)  
- 6 - 12 svar (50%)  
Medelvärde 5,333

Fråga 12: Was the lecturer enthusiastic and motivated? (24 personer)

Svarsalternativ  
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 3 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 4 - 1 svar (4%)  
- 5 - 5 svar (21%)  
- 6 - 18 svar (75%)  
Medelvärde 5,708

Fråga 13: How well did the lecturer answer questions? (24 personer)

Svarsalternativ  
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 3 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 4 - 2 svar (8%)  
- 5 - 9 svar (38%)  
- 6 - 13 svar (54%)  
Medelvärde 5,458

Fråga 14: How friendly and helpful was the lecturer during breaks, in Emails, etc? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ  
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 3 - 1 svar (4%)  
- 4 - 2 svar (8%)  
- 5 - 2 svar (8%)  
- 6 - 20 svar (80%)  
Medelvärde 5,64

Fråga 15: The lecture and tutorial rooms concerning light, acoustics, and air? (23 personer)

Svarsalternativ  
- 1 - 1 svar (4%)  
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)  
- 3 - 2 svar (9%)  
- 4 - 4 svar (17%)  
- 5 - 13 svar (57%)  
- 6 - 3 svar (13%)  
Medelvärde 4,609
Fråga 16: Did you have all prerequisite knowledge for the course? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 1 svar (4%)
- 2 - 1 svar (4%)
- 3 - 3 svar (12%)
- 4 - 4 svar (16%)
- 5 - 7 svar (28%)
- 6 - 9 svar (36%)
Medelvärde 4,68

Fråga 17: How difficult do you consider the course (6 = very easy)? (24 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 1 svar (4%)
- 2 - 6 svar (25%)
- 3 - 7 svar (29%)
- 4 - 10 svar (42%)
- 5 - 0 svar (0%)
- 6 - 0 svar (0%)
Medelvärde 3,083

Fråga 18: How meaningful do you consider the course? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 1 svar (4%)
- 4 - 6 svar (24%)
- 5 - 12 svar (48%)
- 6 - 6 svar (24%)
Medelvärde 4,92

Fråga 19: How is the course altogether? (25 personer)

Svarsalternativ
- 1 - 0 svar (0%)
- 2 - 0 svar (0%)
- 3 - 2 svar (8%)
- 4 - 3 svar (12%)
- 5 - 12 svar (48%)
- 6 - 8 svar (32%)
Medelvärde 5,04

Fråga 20: General comments? (13 personer)

Kommentarer
- Best quote from the course. We solve problems that are greater than the universe.
- I really enjoyed this course and I learned a lot of useful concepts and techniques that I'll be able to use for work. Thank you for the fun!
The exam was quite a shock since the course is mostly theoretical knowledge, but the exam had a lot of practical applications never seen before.

Gecode could be a little bit more documented. At least basic descriptions of classes and important methods.

Gecode felt useful since it's fast, modern and useful for a lot of real world Software Engineering applications (as opposed to Prolog).

I did not at all like the exam. It was not reflecting the course. A lot of the questions seemed irrelevant. It sucks that there were loads of questions on propagators when the page describing propagators was missing from the course notes.

I think the course is fantastic but a little bit practical example during the lecture can be helpful so much. I think in some parts the content was too abstract. Thanks.

The lectures were carefully designed and reviewed. The reminder at the start of each subject helped a lot. The lecturer is one of the best I ever had from every aspect. On the whole this course was a great experience for me.

People who really love their field of research, even if it is as boring as constraint programming (!), have the best classes. So keep up the good work!

It would be nice to have part of the homeworks focus on how constraint programming can be implemented outside of gecode. Embedded in applications. For example a built-in sudoku solver.

I think it would be better if the sample answers to each assignment could be provided after the deadline of each assignment.

Some topics were repeated too much in every lecture which was a little boring. Also, It was better if the assignment questions were like the exam questions, because by sample exam questions we learned exactly about the definition of lecture topics, for example, what did we mean by assignments, by propagators, Hall set and so on.

Brilliant! Excellent lectures, very interesting assignments, and outstanding lecturer!! If it would be possible to give 10 points I'd do that without any doubt. Thanks a lot for this course. I wish we could have more like this.

good

The course is not difficult to understand. My problems started and finished with Gecode.